

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

YOTTA TECHNOLOGIES INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

EVOLVE BANK & TRUST,

Defendant.

Case No. 24-cv-06456-TLT (TSH)

DISCOVERY ORDER

Re: Dkt. No. 71

In ECF No. 71, Plaintiff's counsel filed what looks like a joint discovery letter brief. It begins: "Pursuant to the Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases § 21, Plaintiff *and Defendant* submit this *joint* letter addressing Plaintiff's request to compel Defendant to produce several categories of documents." (emphasis added). It goes on to have a "Plaintiff's Section" and a "Defendant's Section" that purport to relay both sides' arguments. However, it is signed only by Plaintiff's counsel, and there is no ECF attestation for other signatories. *See* Civ. L.R. 5-1(i)(3). In ECF No. 72, Defendant states that it did not consent to the filing, and thus that it is not a joint letter.

Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** ECF No. 71 without prejudice to a properly filed joint discovery letter brief.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 1, 2025


THOMAS S. HIXSON
United States Magistrate Judge